

Skill Gap Analyses of the Farmers and Agricultural Development Technicians on Indigenous Poultry Production in Nkonkobe Municipality Eastern Cape Province, South Africa

S. F. G. Yusuf¹, F. S. Lategan¹ and P. J. Masika²

¹Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, ²Agricultural and Rural Development Research Institute (ARDRI), University of Fort Hare, Alice 5700, Republic of South Africa

KEYWORDS Pest. Diseases. Feeds. Feeding Stuff. Housing. Record Keeping. Training Needs

ABSTRACT Skills are ingredients of productivity. Acquiring technical skills within the framework of farming activities trigger development and innovation. The paper focused on the skill gaps and training needs of indigenous poultry farmers (IPFs) and Agricultural Development Technicians (ADTs) in indigenous poultry production in the Nkonkobe Municipality, Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. Data were collected from 312 households rearing indigenous poultry from 14 villages and from 33 ADTs. Thirty-two skills items were used as a checklist of competency levels using the Likert rating scale from poor (1) to excellent (5). Descriptive statistics and exploratory Principal Component Analysis (Orthogonal rotation technique) was performed on the data collected for the IPFs. Findings revealed that, IPFs showed competencies in nine skill items that included ability to identify chicken predators ($x=3.92$); use of ethno veterinary drugs to treat chicken diseases and pests ($x=3.72$), and identify signs of diseases ($x=3.69$), amongst others. However, the ADTs did not show any competency in any of the 32 skills items. Six factors with the eigen value of >1 , which accounted for 77.317% were extracted, with each factor loading ranging from 0.523 to 0.93. Factor loading after rotation were described as brooding, shelter and care of the chicks; Predators and Healthcare; Hygiene and Litter Management; Feeds and Feeding Stuff; and Record Keeping and Marketing. It is recommended that intensive training is needed for both the IPFs and the ADTs for up-scaling in the indigenous poultry production.

INTRODUCTION

Achievement in a farming enterprise (whether small or large) scale depends on skills at the disposal of the farm entrepreneur. Skills or competencies according to Vreyens and Shaker (2005) are observable abilities that are manifested by an individual indicating how to do something. Skills are obviously important means to increasing incomes and sustainable livelihoods for the poor (World Bank 2004). In the views of Eskola and Gasperini (2010) skills development "is central to improving rural productivity, employability and income-earning opportunities, enhancing food security and promoting environmentally sustainable rural development and livelihoods".

Indigenous poultry production is an age-old farming activity that enjoys wide acceptance among the rural poor, and is regarded as domain for women (Gueye 2009; Kingori et al. 2010; Mtileni et al. 2009; Ochieng 2012) hence, it is at the bottom end of livestock ladder. Many farmers regard chicken production as a secondary or tertiary enterprise, best suited to the time after the real day's work (Sonaiya and Olori 1990). The knowledge of its production and management is usually passed from one generation to the other. This gives some of the farmers' better local technical skills in indigenous poultry production. Importantly, local technical skills are crucial for farmers' survival, but it is not enough to make the resource poor compete in an ever expanding market (Asenso-Okyere 2009). New challenges are also emerging daily which do not discriminate against the rural space. There are challenges relating to health (the recent outbreak of avian flu), the scourge of Newcastle disease, the need to look inward for local materials as sources of feeding, housing, breeding, genetic erosion and an effective management intervention of indigenous poultry production are some of the contending issues.

Address for correspondence:

Dr. S. F. G. Yusuf
Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension,
University of Fort Hare
Alice 5700, Republic of South Africa
Telephone: +27849913664
E-mail: fyusuf@ufh.ac.za

South Africa has been classified as having two groups of critical skills demand (Daniels 2007). This according to the author, are the generic skills and particular occupation skills required for performance within a specified enterprise. A successful farming enterprise requires that farmers be well-equipped with new knowledge, skills and competencies even at the 'low'-level end of the job skills spectrum (Williams 2010). McElwee (2005) asserted that the development of the entrepreneurial skills of farmers is a significant issue which needs to be addressed by all stakeholders in the agricultural socio-economic network.

The effort of government at reducing poverty in South Africa has taken several dimensions. At the provincial level, among the programs implemented to reduce poverty, are: the Integrated Development Plan (IDP), the Provincial Growth and Development Plan (PGDP) and the Local Economic Development (LED) Framework. However, the report of the Public Service Commission (PSC) (2007) identified flaws, the lack of capacity, and poor skills development of personnel handling projects as some of the reasons responsible for the failure of the programs at various stages. Also Gichohi (1992) and Ochieng (2011) identified the lack of capacity and skills as key factors responsible for the "missing link" in economic development and transformation within the society or in communities. Caillods (2003), Fluitman (2005) and Palmer (2007) identified the neglect of skills development as a step in poverty reduction programs as a big gap, while Nuthall (2006) and Palmer (2007) criticized the neglect of skills development in the informal economy that illuminates the rural environment as worrisome. The literature revealed that, many poverty reduction strategy papers (PRSPs) lack skills development initiatives (Palmer 2007), while the International Labor Organization (ILO) (2003) averred that "a striking feature of most poverty reduction strategies is the absence of vocational education and training". As a result of these lapses, Phiri (2009) concluded that with the implementation of various programs aimed at poverty reduction, the economic life of an average person in the rural areas worsened.

Indigenous poultry production (IPP) has not featured in the Eastern Cape Province's (ECP) poverty reduction program. The effort of the government has largely been on livestock that

include cattle, sheep, goats, pigs and exotic poultry. However, 'many village chicken based projects have demonstrated that it is possible to build on the scavenging system and to organize interventions that have an impact on poor households' (Alders et al. 2010). Notable among these are the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) Model (Dolberg 2003), Research-Into-Use (RIU) Indigenous Chicken (IC) projects in Tanzania (MUVEK Development Solutions 2010), and Rakai Model in Uganda (Roothaert et al. 2011). Skills and capacity development of farmers and extension workers are part of the success factors in all the models. Dolberg (2003) deduced that research and training were identified as precursors to scaling up at using poultry production as a tool in poverty reduction. This assertion was supported by Asenso-Okyere (2009) that, agricultural productivity will continue to decline if the capacity of farmers and other actors in the agricultural value chain remain undeveloped, preventing them from innovating. However, the research focus on IPP in ECP has majorly been on the socio-economic factors of production (Mtileni et al. 2012; Mwalusanya et al. 2002), ethno-veterinary practices (Chulayo et al. 2012; Mwale and Masika 2009); chicken genetic resources (Mtileni et al. 2011; Norris et al. 2007); Veterinary microbiology (Abolnik et al. 2006; Bwala 2009), and food quality and preference (Dyubele et al. 2010). Very scanty information is available on skill competencies and capacity development of the indigenous poultry farmers (IPFs) and the Agricultural Development Technicians (ADTs) in IPP. A critical review of the pillars of the Extension Recovery Plan (ERP) indicates that "re-skilling and orientation" of extension workers is paramount (Mudau et al. 2009). However, no conscious effort has been devoted to the skill audit required for up scaling, thereby creating a missing gap.

In an attempt to design developmental programs for IPP, it is important to determine the current level of the local technical skills of IPFs and the knowledge and skills audit of the ADTs to ascertain their strengths and weaknesses. This will lead to the identification of skills gaps and where resources and energy need to be channeled for training. Thus, the present research paper aims at identifying the indigenous technical skills of the IPFs and the level of skills

of the ADTs in IPP in an attempt to design a skill training program.

METHODOLOGY

The population for the paper was made up of 312 IPFs taken from 14 villages (Woburn, Dyamala, Bergplaas, Ncera, Ntselamanzi, Msobomvu, Melani, Khayaletu, Kwezana, Gqumahashe, Hala, Alice, Hopefield and Mbizana LHP) in the Nkonkobe Municipality (NM) and all the ADTs were the target population from the Department of Rural Development and Agrarian Reform (DRDAR), in the NM. Data were collected using the snowball technique for the IPFs. Questionnaires for the ADTs were distributed at the DRDAR offices in Alice, Middle drift, Bisho, and the Cape College in Fort Beaufort. Retrieval of the questionnaires was through the same route.

The skills competency measurement for both the IPFs and the ADTs was a Likert scale questionnaire developed from a review of the relevant literature on skills essential to IPP. A total of 32 skill items were identified within the production activities, comprising of housing, feeding, breeding, health care, marketing and record keeping. The questionnaires consisted of questions eliciting information on the basis of the skill assessment competency level from poor (1), fair (2), good (3), very good (4) and to excellent (5). Total and mean perception scores were computed for each skill item, after which a cut-off means score of 3.5 $[(1+2+3+4+5) / 5+0.5]$ was used to differentiate between the skills gap for both the IPFs and the ADTs at $x \geq 3.5$ rated competent and $x < 3.5$ rated skill deficient.

Content and face validity of the questionnaire were established by an expert on IPP and an agricultural extension expert in the Agricultural and Rural Development Research Institute (ARDRI) and the Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, University of Fort Hare respectively. The Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient was 0.92. Questionnaires were administered from May to November 2012.

Data Analysis

A descriptive statistics analysis was used, while an exploratory Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Orthogonal rotation technique) was performed on the data collected for the IPFs us-

ing the SPSS version 20 (2012). A PCA could not be performed on the ADTs because the population sampled was below the acceptable sample size of 300 (Tabachnick and Fidell 2001) and 150 (Field 2009).

RESULTS

Demographic Distribution of Agricultural Development Technicians (ADTs) and Indigenous Poultry Farmers (IPFs)

Data was collected from ADTs (the extension officers) consisting of 15 males (45.45%) and 18 females (54.54%). Sixty-three percent of the extension workers were married, and the mean age was 40.97 years (SD=7.393). The majority (57.59%) were holders of a diploma in agricultural extension, and 42.42% were degree holders in agricultural extension. The IPFs are made up of 237 (76%) female and 75 (24%) male. The mean age was 47.61 years (SD 1.220) (Table 1). Most (45.5%; n=312) were married, and the literacy level of the total population was 97.1%. Most

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the ADTs and the IPFs

| | <i>Fr</i> | <i>%</i> | <i>Mean</i> | <i>S D</i> |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| <i>ADTs</i> | | | | |
| <i>Sex</i> | | | | |
| Male | 15 | 45.45 | | |
| Female | 18 | 54.54 | | |
| Total | 33 | 100 | | .506 |
| <i>Marital Status</i> | | | | |
| Married | 21 | 63.61 | | |
| Single | 12 | 36.4 | | |
| <i>Education</i> | | | | |
| Diploma | 19 | 57.6 | | |
| Degree | 14 | 42.4 | | .489 |
| <i>Age</i> | | | | |
| 25-30 | 5 | 15.2 | | |
| 31-35 | 1 | 3.0 | | |
| 36-40 | 9 | 27.30 | | |
| 41-45 | 5 | 15.3 | | |
| 46-50 | 13 | 39.20 | 40.97 | 7.393 |
| <i>IPFs</i> | | | | |
| <i>Gender</i> | | | | |
| Male | 75 | 24.0 | | |
| Female | 237 | 76.0 | | |
| Total | 312 | 100.0 | | .428 |
| <i>Age</i> | | | | |
| 25-35 | 34 | 10.9 | | |
| 36-45 | 54 | 17.3 | | |
| 46-55 | 88 | 28.2 | | |
| 56-65 | 86 | 27.6 | | |
| 66 and above | 50 | 16.0 | | |
| Total | 312 | 100.0 | | 1.220 |

(35.2%; n=312) learnt the indigenous technical skills of managing chickens from their mother. There were no (99.7%; n=312) extension packages on IPP for the farmers in the study area (Table 2).

Indigenous Poultry Farmers (IPFs) and Agricultural Development Technicians' Skills Assessment Competency Level

Pest and Diseases Management Skills

Table 3 indicates the pest and diseases management skills competency levels amongst the

IPFs and the ADTs. The table revealed that IPFs had competencies in five out of the eight skills examined, while the ADTs displayed competency below the critical value of $x \geq 3.5$ in all the eight parameters evaluated. IPFs showed high competencies in the ability to identify chicken predators ($x=3.92$), methods of ethno veterinary drug treatment for chickens ($x=3.72$), and control of predators ($x=3.77$), amongst others.

Feeds and Feeding Material

Table 4 indicates that both the IPFs and the ADTs lacked the ability to identify local feeding

Table 2: Sources of Knowledge and Extension services support to farmers

| <i>Sources of knowledge in IPP by the respondents</i> | <i>Fr</i> | <i>%</i> |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Mother | 110 | 35.2 |
| Parent (both father and mother) | 95 | 30.4 |
| Self learning | 54 | 17.3 |
| From friends and neighbors | 7 | 2.2 |
| Parent and further self learning | 43 | 13.8 |
| Brother/family member | 3 | 1.0 |
| Total | 312 | 100.0 |
| <i>Extension support to farmers on local chicken by public extension services</i> | <i>Fr</i> | <i>%</i> |
| No | 311 | 99.7 |
| Yes | 1 | .3 |
| Total | 312 | 100.0 |

Table 3: Pest and disease management skills

| <i>Items</i> | <i>Mean IPFs n=312</i> | <i>SD IPFs</i> | <i>Remarks IPFs</i> | <i>Mean ADTs n=33</i> | <i>SD ADTs</i> | <i>Remarks ADTs</i> |
|---|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1 Identify signs of diseases in birds | 3.69 | .824 | CO | 3.31 | .855 | NCO |
| 2 Identify ecto parasites in birds | 3.51 | .935 | CO | 3.15 | .376 | NCO |
| 3 De-worm birds | 2.12 | 1.146 | NCO | 3.46 | .519 | NCO |
| 4 Administer drugs to sick birds | 3.22 | .971 | NCO | 2.69 | .630 | NCO |
| 5 Identify chicken predators | 3.92 | .928 | CO | 3.15 | .987 | NCO |
| 6 Control of predators | 3.77 | 1.066 | CO | 2.85 | 1.144 | NCO |
| 7 Disposing dead birds | 3.16 | 1.395 | NCO | 2.54 | .776 | NCO |
| 8 Methods of ethno-veterinary drugs to treat diseases and parasites | 3.72 | 1.160 | CO | 2.31 | .480 | NCO |

Keys: CO=Competency Observed, NCO=No Competency Observed

Table 4: Feeds and feeding stuff skills

| <i>Items</i> | <i>Mean IPFs n=312</i> | <i>SD IPFs</i> | <i>Remarks IPFs</i> | <i>Mean ADTs n=33</i> | <i>SD ADTs</i> | <i>Remarks ADTs</i> |
|---|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1 Use of local feeding stuff to feed birds of different age groups | 2.55 | .944 | NCO | 2.85 | .899 | NCO |
| 2 Identify local feedstuffs at different period of the year to feed birds | 2.34 | .894 | NCO | 3.00 | .000 | NCO |
| 3 Use of supplementary feeding for birds of different age group | 2.21 | 1.037 | NCO | 2.54 | .519 | NCO |

materials, apart from maize, that could be used to prepare supplementary rations. Indigenous poultry farmers actually depended on maize or rice grains to feed the birds as supplements that have both economic and food security implications.

Selection and Management of Flocks and Chicks

Out of all the parameters assessed in Table 5, IPFs were competent in the ability to identify high yield birds ($\bar{x}=3.79$) and the protection of chicks against diseases, pests and predators ($\bar{x}=3.52$). Findings indicate that there was no breeding program in place. The stock breeding system relied on chance. The level of competence displayed by the ADTs was very low in all the parameters.

Housing and Equipment Skills

Most of the IPFs showed competence in the ability to use local materials to make nest boxes

($=3.66$) and improvising for drinkers and feeders ($=3.61$). Other factors that are essential to housing and directly proportional to the health care of the birds were very low (Table 6); for example, knowledge of litters and management ($\bar{x}=1.98$) and disinfecting the pen after the brooding period ($\bar{x}=1.87$). There was no skills competence displayed by the ADTs in any of the items assessed under housing and equipment.

Record Keeping and Marketing Skills

The importance of record keeping and access to markets are very crucial in any agricultural enterprises. Record keeping is an effective indicator of whether the farming business is moving in the right direction or not. However, Table 7 indicates that both the IPFs and the ADTs lack the prerequisite skills in record keeping. The IPFs displayed poor knowledge of the local market for the IC which could be attributed to the fact that the majority (69.5%; $n=312$) reared the chickens for home consumption.

Table 5: Selection and management of flocks and chicks skills

| <i>Items</i> | | <i>Mean IPFs n=312</i> | <i>SD IPFs</i> | <i>Remarks IPFs</i> | <i>Mean ADTs n=33</i> | <i>SD ADTs</i> | <i>Remarks ADTs</i> |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Ability to identify high yielding birds | 3.79 | 2.524 | CO | 2.85 | .555 | NCO |
| 2 | Care of chicks against diseases and pests and predators | 3.52 | 1.039 | CO | 3.15 | .689 | NCO |
| 3 | Artificial brooding | 2.93 | 2.189 | NCO | 2.00 | .913 | NCO |
| 4 | Supplementary feeds for brooding hen and chicks | 2.88 | 1.176 | NCO | 2.85 | .555 | NCO |
| 5 | Identify laying conditions in hen | 3.45 | 1.019 | NCO | 2.92 | 1.038 | NCO |
| 6 | Culling as a result of diseases | 3.01 | 3.125 | NCO | 2.92 | .760 | NCO |
| 7 | Culling due to old age of birds | 3.04 | 3.100 | NCO | 2.08 | .760 | NCO |
| 8 | Culling due to poor productivity | 2.88 | 1.176 | NCO | 2.62 | .768 | NCO |

Table 6: Housing and equipment skills

| <i>Items</i> | | <i>Mean IPFs n=312</i> | <i>SD IPFs</i> | <i>Remarks IPFs</i> | <i>Mean ADTs n=33</i> | <i>SD ADTs</i> | <i>Remarks ADTs</i> |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Shelter construction with local materials | 2.99 | 1.181 | NCO | 2.85 | .689 | NCO |
| 2 | Cleaning poultry house | 2.03 | 1.300 | NCO | 3.00 | .707 | NCO |
| 3 | Knowledge of litters and management | 1.98 | 1.155 | NCO | 2.85 | .801 | NCO |
| 4 | Disinfecting pen after brooding period | 1.87 | 1.274 | NCO | 3.15 | .801 | NCO |
| 5 | Prepare brood for hens | 2.82 | 1.137 | NCO | 3.00 | .577 | NCO |
| 5 | Make perches | 3.12 | 1.139 | NCO | 3.31 | .480 | NCO |
| 6 | Make nesting boxes using local materials | 3.66 | 1.352 | CO | 2.85 | .801 | NCO |
| 7 | Improvise drinkers and feeders with local materials | 3.61 | 1.347 | CO | 2.54 | .660 | NCO |

KMO and Bartlett's Test for the 32 Skills Components

The 32 skill items were subjected to the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (Orthogonal rotation technique). Prior to performing the PCA, a test was performed for the suitability of the data for factor analysis. Inspection of the correlation matrix revealed the presence of many coefficients of .4 and above. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) value was .914 exceeding the recommended value of .5 (Field 2005). Bartlett's test of Sphericity was significant at $p < 0.001$, supporting the factorability of the correlation matrix.

A principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation was performed to ascertain the dimensionality of the skill items measures. The eigen value and the Scree plot suggested a 6-factor skill items (Table 8). The six factors accounted for 77.317% of the variance scores. The item loadings on the factor ranged from 0.523 to 0.93. The six components that had the eigen value of >1 were extracted. They are:

1. Identify signs of diseases in birds
2. Identify ecto-parasites in birds
3. De-worm birds
4. Administer drugs to sick birds
5. Identify chicken predators

6. Control of predators

Before the rotation, the main component (ability to identify signs of diseases in birds) accounted for more variance than the remaining five (36.785% compared to 11.681, 9.727, 7.956, 6.168 and 5.174 respectively).

Using the Kaiser criterion, six components were extracted. The Scree plot indicates a clear cut between component 1, which captured more of the variance scores and the remaining five components. To determine the number of components to retain, parallel analysis was conducted. The result (Table 9) suggests that only five components be retained.

Table 9: Comparison of Eigen values from PCA and criterion values from parallel analysis

| Component number | Actual eigen value from PCA | Criterion value from parallel analysis | Decision |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|
| 1 | 11.771 | 1.720033 | Accepted |
| 2 | 2.778 | 1.631054 | Accepted |
| 3 | 2.153 | 1.539073 | Accepted |
| 4 | 1.906 | 1.487967 | Accepted |
| 5 | 1.334 | 1.304664 | Accepted |
| 6 | 1.016 | 1.387546 | Rejected |

Table 7: Record keeping and marketing skills

| Items | Mean IPFs <i>n=312</i> | SD IPFs | Remarks IPFs | Mean ADTs <i>n=33</i> | SD ADTs | Remarks ADTs |
|--|---------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|
| 1 Record keeping of flocks, sex, hatching period and age | 1.44 | .850 | NCO | 2.77 | .927 | NCO |
| 2 Sales of eggs | 1.16 | .520 | NCO | 2.92 | .954 | NCO |
| 3 Sales of chicken | 1.53 | 1.008 | NCO | 3.38 | .650 | NCO |
| 4 Slaughtering and dressing of local chicken for markets | 1.74 | 1.115 | NCO | 3.00 | .707 | NCO |
| 5 Knowledge of markets for local chickens outside the village or in the cities | 2.38 | 1.439 | NCO | 3.23 | 1.092 | NCO |

Table 8: Total variance of the Eigen values from the 32 skills items

| Component | Total variance explained | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Extraction sums of squared loadings | | | Rotation sums of squared loadings | | |
| | Total | % of variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of variance | Cumulative % |
| 1 | 11.771 | 36.785 | 36.785 | 5.178 | 18.182 | 18.182 |
| 2 | 2.778 | 11.681 | 48.466 | 5.079 | 17.871 | 36.053 |
| 3 | 2.153 | 9.727 | 58.193 | 3.625 | 13.327 | 49.380 |
| 4 | 1.906 | 7.956 | 66.149 | 3.190 | 11.969 | 61.349 |
| 5 | 1.334 | 6.168 | 72.317 | 2.870 | 10.969 | 72.318 |
| 6 | 1.205 | 5.174 | 77.491 | 2.528 | 5.173 | 77.491 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 10 shows the factor loadings after rotation. The skills item that clustered on the same component suggests that component 1 represents Brooding, Shelter and Care of the chicks; Component 2, Predators and Healthcare; Component 3, Hygiene and Litter Management; Component 4, Feeds and Feeding stuff, and Component 5 represents Record Keeping and Marketing.

DISCUSSION

The research aims to identify the indigenous technical skills (ITS) possessed by indigenous poultry farmers (IPFs) and Agricultural Development Technicians (ADTs) in the wake of baseline studies of knowledge and skills audit on indigenous poultry production (IPP). The IPFs were competent ($\alpha \geq 3.5$) in nine out of 32 skill

items analyzed. The majority (35.5%; n=312) of the IPFs claimed to have learnt the technical skills from their mothers, while a substantial number (30.4%) attributed their skills acquisition to both father and mother. Parents are the first and most enduring teachers of children (Kaiser and Hancock 2003), transferring family enterprising skills heritage to the young ones through informal education. The findings identified low capacity among the farmers and the lack of motivation from the extension workers, as there was no extension information disseminated to the farmers (99.7%; n=312) on IPP in the study areas.

Poor skills development has been reported as a hindrance to profitable and sustainable IPP. Findings by Mlozi et al. (2003) confirmed a lack of the skills and training required for chicken management to improve household chicken

Table 10: Factor loadings

| Rotated component matrix ^a | Component | | | | |
|--|-----------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Supplementary feeds for brooding hen and chicks | .719 | .706 | | | |
| Make perches | .701 | .706 | | | |
| Prepare brood for hens | .721 | .688 | | | |
| Care of chicks against diseases and pests and predators | .703 | .665 | | | |
| Artificial brooding | .444 | .602 | | | |
| Make nesting boxes using local materials | .576 | .585 | | | |
| Shelter construction with local materials | .645 | .573 | | | |
| Identify laying conditions in hen | .568 | .554 | | | |
| Improvise drinkers and feeders with local materials | .652 | .551 | | | |
| Culling due to poor productivity | .566 | .542 | | | |
| Ability to identify high yielding birds | .234 | .541 | | | |
| Identify chicken predators | .769 | | .840 | | |
| Control of predators | .756 | | .826 | | |
| Identify ecto parasites in birds | .741 | | .739 | | |
| Identify signs of diseases in birds | .672 | | .671 | | |
| Administer drugs to sick birds | .616 | | .660 | | |
| Methods of ethno-veterinary drugs to treat diseases and parasites | .687 | | .651 | | |
| Disposing dead birds | .417 | | .469 | | |
| Culling as a result of diseases | .154 | | | | |
| Cleaning poultry house | .786 | | .840 | | |
| Disinfecting pen after brooding period | .732 | | .809 | | |
| Knowledge of litters and management | .792 | | .764 | | |
| De-worm birds | .524 | | .492 | | |
| Identify local feedstuffs at different period of the year to feed birds | .812 | | | .824 | |
| Use of supplementary feeding for birds of different age group | .721 | | | .787 | |
| Use of local feeding stuff to feed birds of different age groups | .778 | | | .781 | |
| Culling due to old age of birds | .140 | | | | |
| Sales of eggs | .642 | | | | .765 |
| Slaughtering and dressing of local chicken for markets | .750 | | | | .756 |
| Sales of chicken | .673 | | | | .736 |
| Record keeping of flocks, sex, hatching period and age | .548 | | | | .625 |
| Knowledge of markets for local chickens outside the village or in the cities | .702 | | | | .571 |

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimax with Kaiser normalization.

production. The lack of proper chicken husbandry skills (Safalaoh 2001), limited technical skills, knowledge and appropriate technologies (Alders et al. 2009; Morrison et al. 2006), technical inefficiency (Keramidou and Mimis 2011) and poor education and training of farmers involved in family poultry (Gueye 2005) are some of the challenges negating the good performance of the IPP industry. The findings have further justified the widening gap between the farmer's indigenous technical skills and the required scientific knowledge skills for improvement (Gueye 2009). However, skills training and capacity development of the farmers have been confirmed by various studies as capable of improving the production scale in IC farming (Natukunda et al. 2011; Roothaert et al. 2011).

The findings on the Agricultural Development Technicians indicate poor skill development in relation to indigenous poultry production. The poor skills of extension workers have been confirmed in the literature (DAFF 2008; ECP Report 2010/2011). However, their poor skills in IPP may not entirely be the fault of the ADTs if their training at Colleges or Universities does not cover indigenous poultry management. In Addition, the lack of IC content in the capacity development training program of ADTs does not help. Taylor (1999) mentioned a study of agricultural education and training in sub-Saharan Africa (Wallace et al. 1996) which indicated that

many agricultural education curricula have serious shortcomings. The paper found that many curricula are unresponsive to the socio-economic and technological changes in the rural sector and are inappropriate for the local context. Further, many curricula do not involve any form of systematic training needs analysis and often adopt delivery modes and mechanisms that fail to suit the reality of rural dwellers who are mostly farmers (Rodríguez and Bang 1999).

In an attempt to identify the critical skill items in designing a training program for indigenous poultry farmers, attention should be placed on the factors extracted from the components. Therefore, a suggested road map of activities was designed (Table 11).

CONCLUSION

Indigenous poultry production has remained largely unsophisticated, as farmers have no in-depth technical knowledge or skills required for good production. There has not been any conscious effort either by the extension services or institutions towards the capacity development of the IPFs. The poor skills of the ADTs were also observed. This situation has created multiple challenges, which as a result, has created a limited expansion program for production because farmers make use of only local skills. Other challenges such as limited scavenging feed resource base (SFRB), predators and poor hous-

Table 11: Training road map

| <i>Critical factors identified</i> | <i>Suggested activities for the road map</i> |
|--|--|
| Brooding, shelter and care of the chicks | Advice on designing an appropriate chicken housing structure made of local materials, designed to be appropriate and flexible chicken runs. Training area to include a breeding program. The concept of artificial incubation using simple incubators and hatcheries. |
| Predators and healthcare | Research into ethno-vaccination and ethno-veterinary concoctions is suggested. A simple housing using local materials for the chicks and fenced chicken runs to guide against predators. The use of oral rehydration therapy as prophylactic treatment for day-old-chickens as practiced by some farmers with success should further be investigated |
| Hygiene and litter management | Advice on materials for litter, and sanitation. The constituents of litters, management, a preventative rather than curative program through good hygiene. |
| Feeds and feeding stuff | Scavenging Feed Resource Base (SFRB) assessment of the province. Training on the establishment of protein banks through the cultivation of leguminous crops adaptable to the climatic conditions that could serve as plant supplements to the chickens. Advice on locally available feed ingredients and mixing, the making of feeders, and drinkers; regular provision of feed and water. |
| Record keeping and marketing | Training of farmers in simple record keeping, management and marketing tips. |

ing have compelled the farmers to remain stagnant, hesitant to invest and extremely cautious in expansion. Having poor economic capacity, the sector however, remains in the hands of farmers who are unable to take bold steps. They are content with what little benefit the indigenous poultry is able to afford them. With this situation persisting, IPP may remain crude. If no adequate capacity development program for farmers, extension workers and other actors in the production, management, and marketing lines are put in place, things will not change.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on these findings, it is recommended that: A practical training curriculum should be developed and used as training manual for capacity building of both the indigenous poultry farmers and agricultural development technicians.

REFERENCES

- Abolnik C, Gerdes GH, Kitching J, Swanepoel S, Romito M, Bisshchop SPR 2008. Characterization of Pigeon Paramyxoviruses (Newcastle Disease Virus) Isolated in South Africa from 2001 to 2006. From <<http://hdl.handle.net/10019.1/19431>> (Retrieved on 2 March 2013)
- Alders RG, Bagnol B, Young MP 2010. Technically sound and sustainable Newcastle disease control in village chickens: Lessons learnt over fifteen years. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 66: 433-440.
- Alders RG, Pym RAE, Rushton J 2009. Report on the family poultry workshop held during the xxiii world's poultry congress. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 65: 298-305.
- Asenso-Okyere K 2009. *Building Capacity to Increase Agricultural Productivity and Incomes of Poor Small-Scale Farmers. 2020 Focus Brief on the World's Poor and Hungry People*. Washington, DC: IFPRI.
- Bwala DG 2009. *Challenge Studies in Chicken to Evaluate the Efficacy of Commercial Newcastle Disease Vaccines against the Strains of Newcastle Disease Virus Prevalent in South Africa Since 2002*. M Sc Thesis, Unpublished. Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- Caillods F 2003. Do the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers get to the Root of EFA Problems? Results of an IIEP/DFID Review of 18 Papers. In: *IIEP Newsletter XXI (4) October-December 2003*. Paris: IIEP-UNESCO.
- Chulayo AY, Muchenje V, Mwale M, Masika PJ 2012. Effects of Some Medicinal Plants on Consumer Sensory Characteristics of Village Chicken Meat. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10(5): 815-820. From <<http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB>> (Retrieved on 10 February 2013).
- Cochran G 1977. *Sampling Techniques*. New York: Wiley and Sons.
- DAFF (Department of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries) 2008. *Evaluation of Agricultural Education and Training curricula in South Africa*. Department of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries, Republic of South Africa.
- Daniels R 2007. Skills Shortages in South Africa: A Literature Review. DPRU Working Paper No. 07/121. From <<http://ssm.com/abstract=992111>> (Retrieved on 2 March 2013).
- Dolberg F 2003. Review of Household Poultry Production as a Tool in Poverty Reduction with Focus on Bangladesh and India. *FAO Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative Working Paper No. 6*. Rome: FAO.
- Dyubele NL, Muchenje V, Nkukwan TT, Chimonyo M 2010. Consumer Sensory Characteristics Of Broiler And Indigenous Chicken Meat: A South African example, *Food Quality and Preference*, 21(7): 815-819. From <<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950329310000662>> (Retrieved on 25 March 2012).
- ECP (Eastern Cape Province) 2010/2011. Eastern Cape Rural Development and Agrarian Reform. From <<http://www.innovationeasterncape.co.za>> (Retrieved on 27 May 2012).
- Eskola T, Gasperine L 2010. Investing in Skills for Socio-economic Empowerment of Rural Women. Gender and Rural Employment Policy Brief #2. From <<http://www.ifad.org>> (Retrieved on 28 February 2011).
- Field AP 2009. *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. 3rd London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Fluitman F 2005. Poverty Reduction, Decent Work, and the Skills it Takes or: Towards Correcting a Partial View of Training Needs in African Development. In: R Palmer 2007 Skills for Work? From Skills Development to Decent Livelihoods in Ghana's Rural Informal Economy, *International Journal of Educational Development*, 27(4): 397-420. From <<http://www.sciencedirect.com/>> (Retrieved on 2 February 2013).
- Gichohi CM, Maina JG 1992. Poultry Production and Marketing, Ministry of Livestock Production. *Paper presented in Nairobi- Kenya*, 23-27 November.
- Guèye EF 2009. The role of networks in information dissemination to family poultry farmers. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 65(1): 115-124.
- Guèye EF 2005. Gender aspects in family poultry management systems in developing countries. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 61(01): 39-46.
- ILO (International Labour Office) 2003. Working Out of Poverty. International Labour Conference 91st Session. ILO, Geneva. From <<http://www.ilo.org/>> (Retrieved on 1 February 2013).
- Kaiser AP, Hancock TB 2003. Teaching parents new skills to support their young children's development. *Infants and Young Children*, 16(1): 9-21.
- Keramidou I, Mimis A 2011. An application of the double-bootstrap data envelopment analysis to investigate sources of efficiency in the Greek poultry sector. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 67(4): 675-686.
- Kingori AM, Wachira AM, Tuitoek JK 2010. Indigenous chicken production in Kenya: A Review.

- International Journal of Poultry Science*, 9(4): 309-316.
- McElwee G 2005. Developing entrepreneurial Skills of Farmers. University of Lincoln, EU-EsoF, *Contract No SSPE-CT-2005-006500*, June 2005.
- Mlozi MRS, Kakengi AVM, Minga UM, Mtambo AM, Olsen JE 2003. Marketing of free range local chicken in Morogoro and Kilosa urban markets, Tanzania. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 15: 2.
- Morrison PS, Murray WE, Ngidang D 2006. Promoting indigenous entrepreneurship through small-scale contact farming: The poultry sector in Sarawak Malaysia. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 27(2): 191-206.
- Mtileni BJ, Muchadeyi FC, Maiwashe A, Chimonyo M, Mapiye, C, Dzama K 2012. Influence of Socio-economic Factors on Production Constraints Faced by Indigenous Chicken Producers in South Africa. *Tropical Animal Health Production* From <www.citeulike.org/journal/springerlink-103008/page/3> (Retrieved on 6 July 2012).
- Mtileni BJ, Muchadeyi FC, Maiwashe A, Groeneveld E, Groeneveld LF, Dzama K, Weigend S 2011. Genetic diversity and conservation of South African indigenous chicken populations. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, 128(3): 209-218.
- Mtileni BJ, Muchadeyi FC, Maiwashe A, Phitsane PM, Halimani TE, Chimonyo M, Dzama K 2009. Characterisation of Production Systems for Indigenous Chicken Genetic Resources of South Africa. *Applied Animal Husbandry for Rural Development*. 2: 18-22. From <http://www.sasas.co.za/aahrd/> (Retrieved on 1 April 2013).
- Mudau KS, Geyser M, Nesamvuni AE, Belemu ND 2009. Towards Sustainable Strategies and Tactics for Extension Recovery. *Proceedings from the 42nd Annual Conference of the South African Society for Agricultural Extension*.
- MUVEK Development Solution 2010. Beyond Business as Usual: Strategies for Releasing Potential of the Indigenous Poultry Subsector. MUVEK Development Solution Ltd, Dar es Salaam Tanzania. From <http://www.muvek.co.tz> (Retrieved on 25 February 2012).
- Mwale M, Masika PJ 2009. Ethno-veterinary control of parasites, management and role of village chickens in rural households of Centane district in the Eastern Cape, South Africa *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 41:1685-1693.
- Mwalusanya NA, Katule AM, Mutayoba SK, Mtambo MMA, Olsenand JE, Minga UM 2002. Productivity of local chickens under village management conditions. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 34: 405-416.
- Natukunda K, Kugonza DR, Kyarisiima CC 2011. Indigenous Chickens of the Kamuli Plains in Uganda: I. Production System and Flock Dynamics. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 23(220). From <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd23/10/natu23220.htm> (Retrieved on 19 April 2013).
- Norris D, Ngambi JW, Benyi K, Makgahlele ML, Shimeelis HA, Nesamvuni EA 2007. Analysis of growth curves of indigenous male Venda and Naked Neck chickens South African Journal of Animal Science. 37(1): 21-26
- Nuthall PL 2006. Determining the important management skill competencies: The case of family farm business in New Zealand. *Agricultural Systems*, 88(2-3): 429-450
- Ochieng J, Owuor G, Bebe BO, Ochieng DO 2011. Effect of Management Interventions on Productive Performance of Indigenous Chicken in Western Kenya. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 23(114). From <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd23/5/ochi23114.htm> (Retrieved on 25 February 2013).
- Ochieng J, Owuor G Bebe BO 2012. Determinants of adoption of management interventions in indigenous chicken production in Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resources Economics* 7(1): 39-50.
- Palmer R 2007. Skills for work? From Skills Development to Decent Livelihoods in Ghana's Rural Informal Economy, *International Journal of Educational Development*, 27(4): 397-420. From <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0738059306001222> (Retrieved on 02 February 2013).
- Phiri C 2009. *Livestock, Rural Livelihoods and Rural Development Interventions in the Eastern Cape: Case Studies of Chris Hani, Alfred Nzo and Amat-hole District Municipalities*. PhD Thesis, Unpublished. Faculty of Social Science, University of Fort Hare South Africa.
- PSC (Public Service Commission) 2007. *Annual Report 2007*. Published in Republic of South Africa.
- Rodríguez L, Bang JK 1999. Capacity Building for Sustainable Rural Development: Education, a Priority. *Proceedings of a Workshop on Poultry as a Tool in Poverty Eradication and Promotion of Gender Equality*.
- Roothaert RL, Ssalongo S, Fulgensio J 2011. The Rakai Chicken Model: An Approach that has Improved Fortunes for Ugandan Farmers. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*. 9 (1), pp. 222-231. From <http://dx.doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2010.0563> (Retrieved on 3 February 2013).
- Safalaoh ACL 2001. Village chicken upgrading program in Malawi. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 57(02): 179-188.
- Sonaiya EB, Olori VE 1990. Village poultry production in South Western Nigeria. In: EB Sonaiya (Ed.): *Rural Poultry in Africa. Proceedings of an international Workshop on Rural Poultry development in Africa*, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, 13-16 November 1989, ANRPD, pp. 243-247.
- Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS 2001. *Using Multivariate Statistics*. 4th Edition. New York: Harper Collins.
- Taylor P 1999. Participatory Curriculum Development for Agricultural Education and Training: Experiences from Viet Nam and South Africa. From <http://www.fao.org/DistanceLearning/Curriculum%20development%20participation.htm> (Retrieved on 25 May 2012)
- Vreyens JR, Shaker MH 2005. Preparing Market-Ready Graduates: Adapting Curriculum to Meet the Agriculture Employment Market in Egypt. *AIAEE Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference San Antonio, TX*
- Wallace I, Mulhall A, Taylor P 1996. Developing a Research Framework for Improved Policies for Agricultural Education and Training in Sub-Saharan

- Africa. *Report of an International Consultation held at Reading, UK, 20-21 March 1996*, Reading, UK: Agricultural Extension and Rural Development Department, University of Reading.
- Williams R, Packham GP, Thomas BC, Thompson P 2010. Small business sales growth and internationalization links to website functions in the United Kingdom. In: BC Thomas, G Simmons (Eds.): *e-Commerce Adoption and Small Business in the Global Marketplace: Tools for Optimization*, Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- World Bank 2004. Skills Development in Sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank, Washington. In: R Palmer 2007 Skills for Work? From skills development to decent livelihoods in Ghana's rural informal economy, *International Journal of Educational Development*, 27 (4): 397-420. From <<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0738059306001222>> (Retrieved on 2 February 2013).